

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,004	12/11/2001	Charles M. Lieber	H00498/70164 TJO	7232
23628	7590 01/15/2003		`	
	ENFIELD & SACKS	EXAMINER		
FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2211			GREENE, PERSHELLE L	
BOSTON, MI	4 02210-2211		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/020,004	LIEBER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Pershelle Greene	2826			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 E	<u> Pecember 2001</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-102 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-102</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•			
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4. 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

S. Patent and Trademark Office 2TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/020,004 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 10/020004

Attorney's Docket #: H00498.70164.US

Filing Date: 12/11/2001

Applicant: Lieber et al. Examiner: Pershelle Greene

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Claims 1 and 2 will be examined. If claim 1 is found to be allowable then all depending claims will be examined.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,004

Art Unit: 2826

122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claim 1 is being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dai et al. (US 2002/0179434).

As to claim 1, Dai et al. discloses a carbon nanotube device having all of the claimed subject matter:

- A. "a sample region" is met by the exposed portion of the nanotube 20. Refer to figure 2;
- B. "a nanowire" is met by the nanotube 20;
- C. "at least a portion ... exposure region" is met by the exposed portion of the nanotube 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dai et al. (US 2002/0179434), in view of Peeters (U.S. Patent # 6,123,819).

As to claim 2, claim 2 is being rejected for the same reasons set forth in regard to claim 1. In addition the nanoelectodes 26, which would make up the nanowire, are used to detect a property associated with the nanowire.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,004

Art Unit: 2826

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use these receptors for the

Page 4

purpose of detecting various properties.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pershelle Greene whose telephone number is 703-305-3870.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on 703-308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular

communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am - 5:00pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PLG

January 13, 2003

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED